In the Supreme Court of the State of Alaska

Brian C. Ott,

Appellant,

v.

Haley Lyn Runa,

Appellee.

Trial Court Case No. **3AN-17-06688CI**

Supreme Court No. **S-17551**

Order Rejecting
Appellant's Brief

Date of Order: **11/18/2019**

Appellant's brief and excerpt of record, filed on **11/4/2019**, are **REJECTED** for the following reasons specified by the law clerk who reviewed the brief and excerpt for technical compliance with Appellate Rules 210 and 212.

The original and one copy of the corrected brief, and the excerpt, with proof of service, are due on or before **11/29/2019**.

The Appellee's brief due date of 11/25/2019 is hereby **VACATED**. A brief notice with a renewed due date will be issued once the Appellant's brief is accepted for printing and distribution.

Entered under Appellate Rule 102(f).

Clerk of the Appellate Courts

_____
Sarah Anderson, Deputy Clerk

Distribution:

Mail:
Fink, Joshua P.
Sonneborn, Jacob A.

Law Clerk Comments:

Appellant's brief is being rejected for the following reasons:
1. Missing citations for many assertions in both facts and analysis sections.
2. Cover page contains additional header of "expedited appeal."
3. Table of authorities lists some cases twice (DeHart, Ebertz).
4. Statute principally relied on section is double spaced, should be single.

Appellant's excerpt is being rejected for the following reasons:
1. The two transcripts at the end of the excerpt (Aug. 28-29, 2018 and Apr. 17, 2019) should not be included in the excerpt, as they are apart of the record. Any reference to the transcript must use the Tr. page numbers.
2. Cover page contains additional header of "expedited appeal."
3. The three "Motion to Relocate" briefs are listed as Jan. 2018, but should be Jan. 2019.
4. "Exhibit A" is 153 pages of texts and yet almost none of it is cited in the brief -- the excerpt should only include relevant exhibits to the appeal.
5. There appears to have been extra copies of the excerpt printed out for pages 199-298.